



# EOSC Pilot Stakeholder Forum

John Womersley, Director General  
European Spallation Source  
November 2017



## What is your view on EOSC ...?

- EOSC should deliver an open access landscape for scientific data
  - The framework and infrastructure to dramatically alter the user experience and thus the scientific productivity productivity of research infrastructures.
- This will encourage all research infrastructures to better comply with FAIR data principles
  - benefit both the originators of the data and any other party who accesses the data under open principles

## ... and its mission?

- The mission of EOSC then is to ensure that the infrastructure is fit for purpose, inter-operable, accessible, and provided to an agreed service level.
  - provision of agreed guidelines and frameworks for curation of data and meta data, and curation of software and to provide (at some level) a check upon compliance
  - May require resourcing of (aspects of) the endeavour centrally with the understanding that no single facility would be able to achieve the required uplift alone

What should the role of your organization and of similar ones be in EOSC?

- The role of ESS and similar large research infrastructures is to help deliver this EOSC 'vision' within our user community
- Should use the opportunity that EOSC provides to properly curate our data across the domain so that users and others can start to benefit from the underlying principles of FAIR data.

What do you believe must be the key responsibilities of an EOSC Advisory Stakeholder body in order for it to play an effective role in governance of the EOSC?

- feed in requirements to both the governance and developer / maintainers of the architecture.
- important that the needs and requirements for large scale research infrastructures (in particular those serving the materials science community) be suitably represented within the broader remit of EOSC.
- provide the operational requirements to EOSC in a way that ensures the workflows of our users and others accessing data from our facilities are met. Also Service level, meta data standards, curation of software tools etc.



How should the membership of an EOSC Advisory Stakeholder body be constituted and how should members be selected?  
What are the necessary factors for ensuring the effectiveness of an EOSC Advisory Stakeholder body?

- In order for the EOSC to be a success there needs to be community agreement on the policies and processes
- Advisory body should contain representatives of large data intensive research infrastructures, their user bodies and where possible of industry (could leverage the facilitator / accelerator organisations in this area).